CROSS HIGH 1293 Old. Hwy. 6 Cross, SC 29436 7-12 High School GRADES 491 Students ENROLLMENT Robb Streeter 843-899-8900 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. J. Chester Floyd 843-899-8600 Harriett Dangerfield 843-871-3409 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 4 5 7 4 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Average	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	79.7	N/A	N/A	62.6	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	10.1	N/A	N/A	18.9	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	10.1	N/A	N/A	18.5	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Percent	88.9%	90.1%	

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	3.1
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	3.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	45.8	33.0

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Number of Students	74	133	
Number of Diplomas	46	96	
Rate	62.2%	73.1%	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	54	88.9	59	0.0	74	62.2	YES		
Gender									
Male	24	87.5	27	0.0	36	50.0	N/A		
Female	30	90.0	32	0.0	38	73.7	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	7	100.0	6	0.0	8	50.0	N/A		
African-American	47	87.2	53	0.0	66	63.6			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	50	96.0	57	0.0	62	74.2	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	4	I/S	2	I/S	12	0.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	54	88.9	59	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	54	88.9	59	0.0	74	62.2	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	42	88.1	45	0.0	55	63.6	N/A		
Full-pay meals	12	91.7	14	0.0	19	57.9	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GR	JUP		ш,	-,-	ш,		ш,	Η,
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	<u> </u>		/	/	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Of Participation
	sh/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf		Objective				NC
All Students	78	88.5	13.4	31.3	41.8	13.4	65.7	YES	NC
Gender Male	39	89.7	24.2	27.3	39.4	9.1	57.6	N/A	N/A
	39	87.2	24.2	35.3	44.1	17.6	73.5	N/A N/A	
Female	39	01.2	2.9	ან.ა	44.1	17.0	13.5	IN/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group White	10	100.0	N/A	30.0	40.0	30.0	90.0	I/C	1/:
African-American	68	86.8	15.8	31.6	40.0	10.5	61.4	I/S YES	NO NO
Asian/Pacific Islander	00	00.0 N/A	N/A	31.6 N/A	42.1 N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status	0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/-
Not Disabled	66	92.4	5.1	32.2	47.5	15.3	74.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	11/2
Migrant Status	12	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/ •
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	78	88.5	13.4	31.3	41.8	13.4	65.7	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	70	00.0	10.4	31.3	41.0	10.4	00.7	IN/A	14//
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	78	88.5	13.4	31.3	41.8	13.4	65.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	10	00.0	10.4	01.0	71.0	10.4	00.7	14// (14/
Subsidized meals	63	90.5	14.3	32.1	41.1	12.5	64.3	YES	NO
Full-pay meals	15	80.0	9.1	27.3	45.5	18.2	72.7	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	•			•				
All Students	78	88.5	17.9	32.8	38.8	10.4	59.7	YES	NO
Gender	/ 0	00.0	17.9	32.0	30.0	10.4	59.7	TES	IV
Male	39	89.7	21.2	39.4	30.3	9.1	48.5	N/A	N/
Female	39	87.2	14.7	26.5	47.1	11.8	70.6	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	J 39	07.2	14.7	20.5	47.1	11.0	70.0	IN/A	IN/
White	10	100.0	N/A	20.0	60.0	20.0	80.0	I/S	1/:
African-American	68	86.8	21.1	35.1	35.1	8.8	56.1	YES	N(
Asian/Pacific Islander	00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/-
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Disability Status		14/74	14/71	14/71	14/71	14// (14/71	1/0	1/
Not Disabled	66	92.4	8.5	35.6	44.1	11.9	67.8	N/A	N/
Disabled	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	14/
Migrant Status	1 12	14/1	14// \	14// (14//	14// \	14// \	.,,5	",
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	78	88.5	17.9	32.8	38.8	10.4	59.7	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	1 ,0	00.0	17.5	02.0	00.0	10.7	00.7	14//	. 1//
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	78	88.5	17.9	32.8	38.8	10.4	59.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	1 .5			J-1.0			30.7	. 1// 1	. •//
Subsidized meals	63	90.5	21.4	30.4	41.1	7.1	57.1	YES	N
Full-pay meals	15	80.0	N/A	45.5	27.3	27.3	72.7	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 491)				
Retention rate	10.4%	Up from 7.4%	10.4%	9.1%
Attendance rate	94.5%	Up from 94.1%	96.2%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	2.5%	Down from 3.7%	3.3%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	15.6%	Up from 14.5%	13.7%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	13.6% 7.1%	Up from 11.5% Down from 7.4%	14.1% 3.5%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	4.3%	Up from 0.0%	7.2%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV	Op 110111 0.0 /0	23.1%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	2.0%	Down from 3.7%	2.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	100.0%	Up from 6.6%	4.1%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	196	Down from 231	357	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	51.8%	Down from 63.6%	26.9%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	90.1%	Up from 88.2%	72.8%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed Teachers (n= 32)	96.5%	Up from 93.8%	97.0%	99.3%
Teachers with advanced degrees	53.1%	Up from 40.5%	45.1%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	62.5%	Down from 78.4%	67.6%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	87.5%	N/A	87.5%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.7%		17.6%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	78.0%	Down from 80.8%	82.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	91.7%	Down from 94.1%	94.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$41,751 11.3 days	Up 2.8% Down from 11.5 days	\$39,706 12.8 days	\$41,060 10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	18.5 to 1	Down from 19.7 to 1	22.9 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.4%	Down from 86.6%	88.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,856	Down 4.4%	\$7,451	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.3%	Up from 56.9%	56.0%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	92.5% Yes	Down from 99.0% No change	90.2% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		92.8%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	91.2%		.1%
		State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	N	lo

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Academically, our students are taking a proactive and scholarly approach toward their studies. We are excited that we were able to pilot the new Berkeley County School District Small Learning Communities initiative. This program will allow us to improve student achievement in a school-within-a-school Academy structure—one for 7th-9th grades and one for 10th-12th grades. The Academy concept will help our students achieve more while enabling our teaching staff to increase student school success.

The Berkeley County School District Technology Office increased our technical support by providing wireless laptop computer access to the internet in the Media Center.

We continued to raise the academic challenge and performance of our students by adding a new AP Calculus course. We hope to continue this trend by adding new AP courses in the future.

Our Technology Team won first place last year in the Robotics competition held at the Citadel. At this same competition, the Bridge Building Teams' structure held approximately 245 pounds more than the nearest competitors.

The school community was extremely proud of the SAT success our 2004 senior class achieved. Several achieved composite scores above 1000. The senior class set the standard for scholars at Cross High School. They exemplified our school motto: "Education is the Key that Unlocks the Doors to a Brighter Future."

Rob Streeter, Principal Specialist

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	31	16	67				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	41.9%	50.0%	64.6%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	54.8%	50.0%	65.6%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	29.0%	62.5%	70.8%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11 only	the highest grade	was included				